

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/666,775	Applicant(s) BIGGS ET AL.	
	Examiner Thanhha Pham	Art Unit 2813	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thanhha Pham.

(3) _____.

(2) William Hogg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment to claim 16 to clarify scope of claim and overcome prior art. Cancel claim 18 since claim 16 already cites limitation of said two layers of Ni and Au define walls in said opening in which a ball bond and wire is wholly disposed

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)